"...Pharisee; Concerning zeal, persecuting the church" Phil. 3:6



Hazardous Materials rushed the covey of quails, hiding in the briars of unbelief. They took off, squawking madly into full view. There was no time to don their polite and proper cardinal capes.

The Bible speaks of "deceiving, and being deceived" (2 Tim. 3:13). Those who tell lies will: 1.) assume that others lie also and 2.) reap what they have sown and will be deceived, as they have deceived others. Those who take the truth lightly may be deceived as a result.

We know little of the devil, except what the Holy Bible tells us. In our brief glimpses of him through the Bible's lens, we see that the main area of his interest is the word of God. In Genesis he asks, "Yea, hath God said...?" When he tempted Jesus in the wilderness he said, "It is written..." Jesus Christ is his target, but the word of God is the bull's eye at which he aims. If you stand near that bull's eye, you will be his target. What exactly are the "fiery darts" sent by this wicked one to move you and I away from the target we are protecting and the devil is trying to destroy? His tool is lies. He is the father of lies. He lied to Eve and it caused the fall of the entire human race.

John 8:44

Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it.

The Bible tells us how the devil's tool works yet today:

Proverbs 6:19

A false witness that speaketh lies, and he that soweth discord among brethren...

Such false witnesses are not interested in facts, but are interested only in massaging them, like a wax nose, to deface those who are standing for the

word of God. Even the pagan Romans forced the accuser to meet "face to face" with his victim.

Acts 25:16

To whom I answered, It is not the manner of the Romans to deliver any man to die, before that he which is accused have the accusers face to face, and have licence to answer for himself concerning the crime laid against him.

They presented such false witnesses against Jesus.

Matthew 26:60

But found none: yea, though many false witnesses came, yet found they none. At the last came two **false witnesses**,

David was the object of false witnesses:

Psalms 35:11

False witnesses did rise up; they laid to my charge things that I knew not

Of the thousands of things that the Bible forbids, God puts bearing false witness in the top ten and included it in the ten commandments.

Exodus 20:16

Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbour.

Deuteronomy 5:20

Neither shalt thou bear false witness against thy neighbour.

Deuteronomy 19:16

If a false witness rise up against any man to testify against him...

Paul warned that perilous times would come and men would be false accusers:

2 Timothy 3:3

Without natural affection, trucebreakers, **FALSE ACCUSERS**, incontinent, fierce, despisers of those that are good,

Titus 2:3

The aged women likewise, that they be in behaviour as becometh holiness, not **FALSE ACCUSERS**, not given to much wine, teachers of good things;

Paul had experienced false accusations himself.

Acts 24:13

Neither can they prove the things whereof they now accuse me.

Acts 25:7

And when he was come, the Jews which came down from Jerusalem stood round about, and laid many and grievous complaints against Paul, WHICH THEY COULD NOT PROVE.

Behind the Scenes

Job's friends were accusing him of wrongs that he had not done. At the end God told Job, "Remember the battle..." (Job 41:8). The book of Job gives us a behind-the-scenes view of the battle between God and Satan. The book of Daniel also gives us a peak into the battle in the heavens. When I located the pure Farsi (Persian) Bible, which no one else has, and got it to a printer, "the prince of the kingdom of Persia withstood me." It seems that if you "fight with the PRINCE OF PERSIA: and when I am gone forth, lo, the prince of Grecia shall come (The Greek-speak DBS Society) Daniel 10:13-20). I will give you a peak behind the scenes so that you can see what is REALLY happening. Dr. John Hinton (Ph.D. linguist from Harvard), who speaks most of the world's languages, and I had been combing the libraries to find copies of pure old vernacular Bibles that had gone out of print. We were particularly looking for the pure Henri Martin 1837 Persian New Testament, as many soldiers in the Middle East were requesting it. This is the Bible for Afghanistan, Pakistan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan and to some extent in Armenia, Iraq, India, Bahrain, and Oman. Only corrupt editions are currently available. After five years of fervent prayer and calling libraries, I asked Dr. Verhoef of Switzerland to join (rather, head up) the Holy Bible Society which I had been informally operating. (For example, Dr. Hinton had been successful in locating the pure out-of-print Bibles for many Indian dialects and I was able to get these to Dr. Keen of First Bible. I still take calls and e-mails daily, responding to questions about the translation of Bible words in other languages, the best texts in certain languages, and the correct tools for translation, such as sources of old and ancient Bibles. This keeps me very busy.) Dr. Verhoef not only found the Farsi Bible for us, but took the bull by the horns and set up a web site called http://www.holybiblefoundation.org., posting old texts which I mailed to him and as many other pure Bibles as he could find. The devil was not happy. The devil's prince of Persia (see book of Daniel) was not happy as the pure Farsi Bible could free those in Islam that he had kept in bondage.

So the devil immediately set out to stop Gail Riplinger and her bridge to good Independent Baptist church printers or groups who likely would support such projects. When all of the languages are totaled, the people affected by the Bibles we had found reached the billions (scores of Indian dialects, Chinese, Farsi, etc). If you think the devil is going to sit still for this, you are mistaken. Who could he get to stop this mounting snowball? It would have to be someone who would likely "fall" for the same sin the devil had "fallen" for – pride and envy. So Jack Schaap, Dr. Waite, and Phil Stringer filled the bill. They were given their orders from leviathan, the "king over all the children of pride" (Job 41:34).

Dean Burgon Society

Because the Dean Burgon Society cannot academically (or spiritually) answer the miles of e-mails and the ringing phone calls they have been receiving since the book, Hazardous Materials, came out, they have sullied themselves and stooped to guile and character assassination of the author. At their annual meeting, when they have had the book in hand for over a year, one would think that eighteen men could have come up with something to stoutly defend the slightly marred Scrivener and British and Foreign Bible Society's texts they have wrongly touted as 'the originals.' Such was not the case at all. Phil Stringer made numerous charges and claims about me and did not document them. That is because there is no documentation for his claims. He referred to, "doctrines she's invented." He named none, as there are none. He referred to "the people she tells you to attack." He gives no quote where I tell anyone to attack anyone, since no such comment has ever been made. He says I talk about the KJB's "continual reinspiration." He gives no quote, as none exists. He said I say "preservation is a powerless doctrine." He gives no documentation because I have never said that and have written reams to the contrary. He said, "Her books are filled with phony quotations." Yet he doesn't even give one example. The word "filled" certainly requires many examples, yet he could not even come up with a typo or two as examples. He said that I said one should use only the KJB for translation. In fact, I wrote an entire chapter in *Hazardous Materials* ("The Scriptures to All Nations") and several other chapters which show that all pure available sources should be accessed, just as the KJB translators did. He said I said it was a "sin" to use Greek. Kirk DiVietro also repeated this charge at their meeting. I will donate \$1,000.00 to his church if he can find a statement in any of my writings that calls such a "sin." Those who have a weak case, like Stringer, must exaggerate, distort, and misrepresent their opposition, as he has of me.

When one can't deal with the academic load dropped in *In Awe of Thy Word* and *Hazardous Materials*, he must become a filth slinger. Weak-minded and sour-spirited folks must tar and feather their opponent, so that the opponent is no longer recognizable. They darken their character, so that no one will want to read their books and thereby discover that they have been wrong in some area, and cannot even give a good answer (Someone wisely said, "It's what you learn after you 'know it all' that counts.) The embarrassed pastors who use new versions and obviously cannot defend them, have become experts at taking snippets out of context and pretending my character is different than it really is. The classic example is their pretending that I think God dictated my book New Age Bible Versions. It can only be done by taking quotes out of context, omitting words, and CHANGING THEM!!! I am a Baptist and I do not, and have never believed that God speaks outside of his word. Period. In fact, that is what all of my books are about. Duh... Many years ago new version advocate, James White, took a sentence or two from an article I had written. He omitted words to make it appear much different than originally written. Now, stringing along with this new version proponent's filth, Phil Stringer repeated it without ever checking the original, reading the context, or asking me my views. The sole intention of the original article was to prove that Baptists are right and Charismatics are wrong. I was asked to write this article for the newsletter of a radio program in the South, which, because of its wide audience quite possibly is read by some Charismatics. I thought...hmm...this will be a perfect opportunity to explain to these dear folks that their beliefs are not correct. God does not heal everyone and it is often his will and for his glory to allow sickness. So in the article I explained how sick I was when I wrote New Age Bible Versions and how God allowed it, so that he would get the glory, since I could not do the normal running from library to library to do research for the book. Anyone who read the entire article understood the point. Anyone who has read all 3,500 pages of my books knows that this author's style is to use metaphors and similes. My books are loaded with it. The Bible is loaded with it. Bible readers know that Jesus is not a door; he compared himself with one to paint a picture. Since I am a woman, I referred to myself as a secretary for God, in that article. I said that G.A. (which stands for Gail Anne) meant TO ME "God And," because without God I could not have written the book. James White altered the quote, omitted words, and spread it all over the internet, pretending I was saying I thought God wrote the book. Nuts! I concluded the sentence with the verse:

Psalms 34:2

My soul shall make her boast in the LORD: the **humble** shall hear thereof, and be glad.

Evidently, those who aren't "humble" are not glad when someone is trying to give God the glory. The Bible says that in the last days men shall be "proud, boasters" (Romans 1:30). Back in the early 90s when someone asked me if I spoke Greek, I said, "No," as I assumed that their definition of speaking Greek was the same as mine and my associates at the University. Speaking Greek means being able to pick up Aeschylus, Euripides, Sophocles, Aristophanes, or the Argonautica and Hesiod's Theogony and read them without a dictionary, as one would read the morning newspaper. I soon found out that in the apostate Christian milieu, 'reading Greek' was defined as 1.) having passed a Greek course or two by the skin of their teeth, 2.) having therein memorized a few things, which they quickly forgot, and now 3.) using an interlinear or lexicon, reading only its ENGLISH, to expound upon the English Holy Bible. I had passed that stage over forty years ago while teaching English to Greek (Japanese, Spanish, Italian, Lithuanian, etc.) speaking adults for three years. Now, forty some years later, several dozen of which were spent with my nose buried in Greek nonsense. I demonstrated to the readers of *Hazardous Materials* that W.E. Vine could not read Greek as well as I could. The Dean Burgon Society's so-called Greek expert, Mr. Kirk DiVietro, wrongly said he thought, "Every other difference but one was insignificant" between Scrivener's and Stephanus' Greek texts." Where has he collated and published the differences between these texts for all to see in great detail, as I have? DiVietro said that according to the English in his Greek lexicon, 'sin' was to 'miss the mark.' He added, "You can't learn that from English." But the words he used are 'ENGLISH.' In fact, 'missing the mark' is an inconsequential slip that might occur in archery. It is the type of watereddown lexical jargon used in the 'seeker sensitive,' 'Let's not offend the sinner' Rick Warren churches. Sin is so grave that it is eternal in its consequences. As willful sinners, we are not even aiming at the "mark." Sin's arrows are so deadly that they pierced our Saviour's side. Sin is marching defiantly away from God and his goodness and wisdom, not aiming for him and slipping ever so slightly off center. Lexicons ALWAYS soften, dilute, and secularize the Bible ('sky' for 'heaven'; 'breath for 'spirit,' etc). DiVietro misrepresented me when he said I said the KJB "brought proto-English up to modern English." Although I had sent him In Awe of Thy Word as a free gift, he obviously did not read or comprehend it. The thesis was that the KJB is not "modern English"; it is Biblical English. If the DBS men spoke Greek as aptly as they pretend, they would have quickly recognized the fake pen name used by the 'author' of the book they promote to smear me. The first name, 'Aletheia,' is made up of 'a' meaning 'not' and letheia which means 'forget.' It is a pagan Greek goddess. The last name identifies the author as the male homosexual who has been used by the wealthy new version publishers to smear me for years. He refers to himself on the internet as 'the Irish lass' and he refers to the war he and his team are waging against KJB fundamentalists and me as the "inquisition." If an author will not place their true name on a book cover, one can be sure their book's contents are equally dishonest. The apostles were also plagued by "lewd fellows of the baser sort." There is no new thing under the sun.

The icing on the cake the DBS tried to make was when Stringer compared me to wicked Charismatics and heretics of history past. I am an Independent fundamental Bible believing Baptist and have held such beliefs since I was saved. My theological beliefs are presented for all to see in over 3,500 pages of my books. Not a hint of heresy has ever been seen there. How did Stringer then make such an absurd connection? Watch the slight of hand as he merges me in the middle of a list of well-known heretics, such as J.B. Phillips, whose madness brought him to think that C.S. Lewis actually appeared to him, Joseph Smith, who said he saw an angel, and Charles Taze Russell and Ellen G. White, who both pretended to hear the audible voice of God.

God does not talk audibly to anyone. No one can hear his voice. He does not speak outside of the Bible. Charismatics and unbiblical Christians, who run hither and yon, directing their every move by signs, impressions, feelings, and so-called leadings outside of the scriptures are creating their own God, deceiving themselves, and are headed for very dangerous delusion and a shipwrecked faith. On the other hand, a true pastor might pray, 'Dear God, Please get me out of the way; help me give your people what they need; help me to lead this group.' Would he be a 'wacko' if he believed God actually led him: 1.) to build a necessary fellowship hall, 2.) to come up with a verse when he was preaching that he had not planned, or 3.) to say *just* the right verse to a sorrowing widow? Have you ever been unkind to your wife or child and then God nudges you with the thought, 'You need to apologize.' And you excuse yourself thinking, 'Yeah, but she's WRONG...." A little later the urge echoes, "You need to apologize, even if she's wrong." And

you think, "Maybe later." And finally, you do apologize, even if it's just to get that urging of your conscience to ease up. Have you ever had a tract in your pocket and God urged you to give it to a certain person, but for one reason or another, you just didn't do it. We all know the leading of God. That is the still small voice of conscience that keeps us on the right track. Well, on one of my videos I relayed just such a scenario about how God urged me to work on my first book, New Age Bible Versions. (The video was sold by Waite for over a dozen years, so obviously the comments were clearly orthodox.) Have you ever had God urge you to do something you were reluctant to do? Of course, we all have, and it is a normal part of our Christian walk. My simple recounting of how God urged me along, as I wrote that book, was masticated in Phil Stringer's mouth, to give his listeners the false impression that I believed like those deranged folks who think that God talks to them.

When an author, such as Shakespeare, is dead, writers and students spend hours and pages discussing what he 'meant' by something he said. Since he is not alive to explain, his intent could be misrepresented. However, I am still alive. And I can explain my views and explain what I meant, when my words are misinterpreted and distorted by someone with ill motives. So now you've gotten it from the horse's mouth. Gail wrote *New Age Bible Versions*, but she's humble enough to give God the glory, as she knows that without him she can do nothing.

Stringer said I *thought* God gave me a "special dispensation." Where did I say that? God gives no special dispensations. Every thought and action must be judged by the word of God. Period. Jesus said that we will be judged by the word of God and its pronouncements,

John 12:48

He that rejecteth me, and receiveth not my words, hath one that judgeth him: the word that I have spoken, the same shall judge him in the last day.

It is the devil who said, "Ye shall not surely die" (Gen. 3:4), when God had already said that "thou shalt surely die" (Gen. 2:1, 7).

The Bible forbids being a "busybody in other men's matters," since it invariably results in filling in the unknown blanks with one's own vain "imaginations" and "evil surmissings," due to a lack of enough information

(1 Peter 4:15 et al.). Stringer, for example, said that my invitation to be a team member in President Reagan's Citizens' Ambassador Program, was open to all academics. This is not true, as I was the only one in my school who was selected, through a recommendation by a dean from a New York school. The team I was chosen to be on included medical doctors and architects who were to represent the U.S. abroad. How can you have a team of thousands or even hundreds of individuals? Obviously there is a selection process. I still have the paperwork and the University newspaper article which recorded the selection. Mr. Stringer mocked my inclusion in *Who's Who*, saying he has received offers in the mail inviting him to send in \$500.00 to be in some bogus off-brand edition of *Who's Who*. I was in Barons *Who's Who* and paid nothing for the entry. Sorry, Phil.

Sour Grapes?

Many years ago Phil Stringer sent several of the small booklets he had written on the KJB to A.V. Publications for consideration. We receive many such items and select few to offer. Our criterion is that it must contain something that is not written anywhere else and it must be written generally without error. Mr. Stringer's booklets were culled from authors who actually did primary research. Since he had not done the research himself, his booklets contained small errors. Since his work was not original research and contained these small errors, we did not carry it.

H.D. Williams is rather new to the version issue. His personal testimony on his web site (recently removed) stated that he got turned around to the KJB through hearing me speak when a relative called him and told him to tune into a Florida television program. On this program I was showing the errors of the new versions and my presentation (according to his testimony) turned him around to the KJB. He subsequently sent us a book he himself had written about the KJB. It contained small technical and historical errors and we did not offer it. Both of these men *compiled* material without doing the research themselves. Such books of compilation are sometimes needed, but their authors could have dangerous gaps in their understanding, since they have done little or no research using primary resources. Williams was caught in a bald faced lie when he said that I sent Waite a letter and "It was written on lawyer's paper." I will donate \$1,000 to D.A. Waite's internet church (of which Williams, who lives in another state, said he is a member), if Waite or Williams can product a letter "written on lawyer's paper." No such letter was ever sent to Waite. No lawsuit was ever threatened. Williams said, "Traitors" was "sent out all around the world." In fact, I sent it to a few dozen folks who requested the information and a handful of others, for a total of less than 36 people. (Most of the people on our mailing list of twenty-five thousand people have probably never heard of D.A. Waite or the DBS society.) The misrepresentations of Williams abound. He put a quote on the screen, which he said was from King James. However, to support his point, Williams changed James's quote, adding the words 'Greek,' 'Hebrew' and 'Aramaic.' However, such words are not in the original quote! Only men who work in the devil's rumor mill would draw their listeners into the top bin of their Cultinator 2010, grind the truth to a powder, and watch mindless cult-like members pop out of the bottom.

Someone once said that unfulfilled ambition is the most dangerous thing that can overtake someone in full-time Christian service. It seems that some folks want to BE SOMEBODY and to receive attention. If God has *not* chosen that role for such men, envy strikes. They try to gain 'attention' by lowering those whom God has chosen to use in some way. God says he chooses the foolish, the weak and the base things of the world to confound the wise. Those ambitious of attention should be glad they do not fall into those three categories. As a disabled lady, God has allowed me to help folks by using my down time to collate dusty old books and Bibles, so that able-bodied Christians can run the race for lost souls. The collection of facts in *Hazardous Materials* has shed so much light that the owls cannot abide it.

Currently I am busy encouraging Christians who are trying to get pure foreign Bibles circulated and printed around the world. The Lord is about to get 20,000 pure Spanish Bibles off to Chili; the pure French Bible has flooded Haiti and many French speaking countries. Much persecution and even imprisonment accompanies the work on the Chinese Bible; the Faeroe is done and a printer secured; 50,000 copies of the pure Urdu in John and Romans were collated by local churches and have been shipped to Pakistan; we're looking for the Shona, Kannada, Oromo, and numerous others. Pray for us. For current updates contact Dr. Nico Verhoef at the Holy Bible Foundation at ncverhoef@bluewin.ch. Also see the websites of the https://wwws.purebiblepress.com.

Will Phil Stringer, Steve Zeinner, and Jack Schaap swallow their pride and join us in getting the Holy Bible to the billions of lost people in the world? The devil is trusting they will not. Now you know WHY the smear campaign is raging. The souls of billions are at stake. These men's lipservice to the 'cause' is meaningless, until they pick up the phone and say,

"How can we help get pure Bibles to a lost world?" (I didn't say, 'bibles,' I said "pure" Holy Bibles.) None of these critics speak the languages of the 10/40 window, as Dr. Hinton can, or the European languages, as Dr. Verhoef can. None of those critics have collated these Bibles, as these men can, and as I have. Why won't they humble themselves, see the devil's trick for what it is, and repent. God is waiting; the world is waiting.

May 2024 Update: Bromancing with Sayers, Stringer, and DiVietro

God said, "the iniquity of the Amorites is not yet full..." Gen. 15:16. Nor are the machinations of Phil Stringer. God fully exposes and "trieth the hearts," and like Judas, provides enough time and rope for a hanging. Stringer is still lying like a rug.

Sayers is a bird of prey, preying on uninformed KJB souls, hoping to sell them his chopped and changed *KJV 2023*. Why is new version editor, Nick Sayers (*KJV 2023*) sharing his internet platform with men such as Kirk DiVietro, Phil Stringer and other supposed KJB 'men'? Answer: none of them professes the inspiration and finality of the King James Bible. They reserve that for a Greek or Hebrew text, which none of them can now put their hands on. The TBS Greek (Scrivener) and Hebrew (Ginsburg) texts are sinking from their grasp, since *Hazardous Materials: Greek and Hebrew Study Dangers*, chapters 17, 18, and 28 shot holes through them.

"And the same day Pilate and Herod were made friends together" and yet again today this happens (Luke 23:12). Both men realized that the Word of God, Jesus Christ, drew the spotlight away from them. I asked a wise man, 'Why would a 'King James man' be so blind with hate that he would stumble over his conscience to lie about me?" He said, "They like to be seen in the light of the glory of KJB, but they like one thing *better* and that one thing is THEMSELVES."

Kirk DiVietro, now president of the Dean Burgon Society, shared the same internet perch with Sayers, swinging in unison to the *mocking* bird's song. In the cozy confines of their bird cage, where the Greek phoenix bird rises out of dusty manuscripts, DiVietro admits that that he wrote only 85 or so pages out of the total 394 pages of his book which challenges *Hazardous Materials*. Drs. Waite and Williams added the remaining 309 pages based on a "vendetta" they had against "Gail Riplinger," he charged. Yet, Waite put only DiVietro's name as the author, when he had contributed only 20

percent; DiVietro was clearly not happy about such misrepresentation of his writings. *Hazardous Materials* exposed their corrupt lexicons and critical editions. British scholar Dr. Alan O'Reilly wrote *Flotsum Flush* to prove the errors in DiVietro's book.

Phil Stringer and bible-changer Nick Sayers coo at one another, like two love birds on Sayers' platform. Stringer closes saying, "I've enjoyed it." Of course, Sayers' perch needs men who will deflect and ricochet any birdshot that might fly at his new version. My books contain much ammunition against changing God's word. So expect to hear the audible whine that rebound causes. I challenge Stringer to produce proof for his insane lies:

- 1. "I believe her whole story is a scam and that she, you know, liked to tell about being a Harvard trained linguist." Where did I say this?
- 2. "If you check into Gail Riplinger, she's not what she says she was." "Riplinger I believe is a complete fraud" "scam artists." "Her influence was based on a phony story." My resume is at the end of my books. Prove otherwise.
- 3. "She would threaten to sue anybody that disagreed with her." "I had her threaten to sue me." "When I get the letter from her attorney threatening to sue me it was 'let's get at it'." I will give Stringer \$1,000 if he can produce any such letter from an attorney or me, where I threatened to sue you, Mr. Stringer.
- 4. He said, one can "read very, very clear quotations from her over and over again about the King James Bible being inspired on the day of Pentecost...she says they were completed in Acts chapter 2. Prove that I give such silly quotes.
- 5. He said that in one of my books I listed Stringer as an "unsaved, Satanic, infiltrator of the King James movement" Where did I say this?
- 6. I didn't say that about him; but he said that about me. Pot to kettle...

In his fable filled books, he says, "I strongly suspect that GAR is a practitioner of the occult who has infiltrated independent Baptist circles" p. 6). "There is yet another reason why I think that GAR is a conscious practitioner of the black arts. This reason, I will admit, is somewhat subjective (p. 27). A clock is correct at least once a day. He adds. "There is a certain type of personality that always has to accuse other people of what they are doing" (p. 27).

Naturally, one would not open my ammo-box books and shoot shells at Nick Sayers' corrupt new version, after hearing such nonsense. Sayers version stays safe and Stringer is king of his own KJB sand pile. Before Stringer can catch his breath after pecking me bloody, he waxes eloquent about J.B. Phillips, citing my *original* research, published in chapter 32 in *New Age Bible Versions* over 30 years ago. He and his Research Council think that 'research' is data mining my books. Try pulling that off at an accredited University, where even unsaved liberals abide by the rules of research and toss out plagerists.